UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/531,208	04/14/2005 Mitsuru Ueda		28955.1048	6424		
27890 STEPTOE & JO	7590 05/28/200 DHNSON LLP	8	EXAMINER			
1330 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, N.	W.	LEE, SIN J			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1795			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/28/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Ap	pplication No.	ication No. Applicant(s)				
		10	0/531,208		UEDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			kaminer		Art Unit			
			n J. Lee		1795			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	s on the cover s	heet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. ratutory period will ap v will, by statute, caus	E OF THIS COM In no event, however only and will expire SIX se the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be tim (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>12 May 2</i>	2008					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		al matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛	Claim(s) 5-7,19-23,25,27,30,32 and	<u>/ 34</u> is/are allov	ved.					
6)🖂	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,9-17,24,26,28,29,31,33 and 35</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) 4 and 8 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ection requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ed or b)⊟ objec	ted to by the E	xaminer.			
•	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drav	ving(s) be held in	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction i	is required if the d	rawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5) Pa	erview Summary per No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal Pa ner:	te			

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the amendment, previous 102(b) rejection on claims 1-8, 10, 12, 16 and 17 over Nishikubo et al (JP'656), previous 103(a) rejection on claims 9, 11 and 15 over Nishikubo in view of Niinomi et al, and previous 103(a) rejection on claims 13 and 14 over Nishikubo in view of Niinomi and Zhong are hereby withdrawn.

- 2. In view of the amendment, previous 102(e) rejection on claim 24 over Hanabata et al (WO'131) is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. In view of the amendment, previous 102(b) rejection on claim 25 over Sakamizu et al (Chem. Abstract '830) is hereby withdrawn.
- 4. In view of the amendment, previous 103(a) rejection on claims 1-8, 10, 12, 16 and 17 over Ito et al'517, previous 103(a) rejection on claims 9, 11 and 15 over Ito in view of Niinomi and previous 103(a) rejection on claims 13 and 14 over Ito in view of Niinomi and Zhong are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 26, 28, 29, 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al (Chemical Abstract 131:293195 for "Novel Dissolution Inhibitors Based on Calixarene Derivatives for Use in Chemical Amplification Resists", Polymeric Materials Science and Engineering (1999), vol.81, pg.51-52).

Ito teaches a chemical amplification resist containing calix[4]resorcinarene, in which all eight OH groups were protected with acid-labile group of t-BuOCOCH₂ (see

Art Unit: 1795

the second page of the abstract enclosed for the chemical structure). Thus, Ito teaches present compound of claims 26, 28 and 29 (present X, Y and Z are ether bonds, present B, C and D are tert-butyloxycarbonylmethyl groups). Thus, Ito teaches present inventions of claims 26, 28, 29, 33 and 35.

7. Claims 1-3, 10, 12, 16, 17, 24 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tully et al ("Dendrimer-Based Chemically Amplified Resists for Sub-100 nm Lithography", Proceedings of SPIE, Vol.3999 (2000), pg.1202-1206).

Tully teaches (see pg.1204) a resist composition containing [G-2] dendrimer (see Figure 1 for its chemical structure), a photoacid generator and propylene glycol methyl ether acetate (a solvent). This dendrimer teaches present compound of formula (1) (present X, Y and Z being ether bonds, present B, C and D being Ar-CH₂- groups substituted by present ROCO- in which R represent the following;

specification, pg.22). Thus, Tully teaches present inventions of claims 1-3, 10, 12, 16, 17, 24 and 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,208

Art Unit: 1795

9. Claims 9, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tully et al ("Dendrimer-Based Chemically Amplified Resists for Sub-100 nm Lithography", Proceedings of SPIE, Vol.3999 (2000), pg.1202-1206) in view of Niinomi et al (Proceedings of SPIE, VOl.2724, Advances in Resist Technology and Processing XIII (1996), pg.174-185).

Page 4

Tully does not explicitly teach present range for the basic impurity content. It is well known in the art that basic impurities in a resist composition causes the problem of post exposure delay (PED), as evidenced by Niinomi et al, pg.174, last paragraph. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to reduce any basic impurity content in Tully's photoresist material as low as possible in order to avoid the PED problem. Present range of 10 ppm or less for the basic impurity would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, Tully in view of Niinomi would render obvious present inventions of claims 9, 11 and 15.

10. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tully et al ("Dendrimer-Based Chemically Amplified Resists for Sub-100 nm Lithography", Proceedings of SPIE, Vol.3999 (2000), pg.1202-1206) in view of Niinomi et al (Proceedings of SPIE, Vol.2724, Advances in Resist Technology and Processing XIII (1996), pg.174-185) and Zhong et al (7,013,965).

Art Unit: 1795

As discussed above, based on Tully in view of Niinomi, it would have been obvious to reduce basic impurity in Nishikubo's composition in order to avoid the PED problem. It is known in the art to remove basic impurities in a composition by treating the composition with acid and with ion exchange resins as evidenced by Zhong et al, col.5, lines 9-10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to reduce any basic impurity in Tully's composition by using art-known methods such as treating with acid and ion exchange resins in order to avoid the PED problem.

Therefore, Tully in view of Niinomi and Zhong would render obvious present inventions of claims 13 and 14.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Tully does not teach or suggest present B, C and D of claim 4 or claim 8.
- 12. Claims 5-7, 19-23, 25, 27, 30, 32 and 34 are allowed. Tully does not teach or suggest present B, C and D of claim 5 or claim 21. Tully does not teach or suggest present A group of claim 22, claim 25 or claim 27. Also, Ito does not teach or suggest present A group of claim 5, claim 21 or claim 27. Ito does not teach or suggest present B, C and D of claim 22 or claim 25.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333.

Art Unit: 1795

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sin J. Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 May 26, 2008